

NYISO 2015/2016 ICAP Demand Curve Reset

Review of Preliminary Recommendations and Overview of Draft Report

ICAPWG June 27, 2016



- Outline of process and next steps
- Review of changes from 6/15 ICAPWG meeting
 - Net Energy and Ancillary Services (EAS) revenues model adjustments
- Overview of Draft Report
 - Review of preliminary recommendations and results

ECONOMIC, FINANCIAL and STRATEGY CONSULTANTS

Draft Report released for stakeholder review on June 23, 2016

- Provides a preliminary recommendation for the ICAP Demand Curve peaking unit technology and associated reference point prices (RPs) for the 2017/18 Capability Year
- Analysis Group (AGI) seeks written stakeholder feedback on the Draft Report by July 8, 2016
 - AGI to present at July 20, 2016 ICAPWG meeting
- AGI and Lummus Consultants (LCI) will release a Final Report in August 2016, which will include:
 - Consideration of additional stakeholder feedback and analysis as warranted;
 - Updated values that reflect the most current data available for locational based marginal prices (LBMPs), reserve prices, fuel prices, emission prices, and escalation factors; and
 - Net EAS revenues and RPs for combined cycle units, for informational purposes
- NYISO and AGI will update the recommended ICAP Demand Curve parameters using finalized data for the filing with FERC on or before November 30, 2016



- The Draft Report provides preliminary values for the ICAP Demand Curves for the 2017/18 Capability Year; however:
 - All numerical results presented in the Draft Report will be updated for the Final Report to reflect:
 - Any changes that AGI and LCI deem appropriate in consideration of stakeholder comments and any further research/analysis AGI and LCI may undertake; and
 - The most current data available at such time as required for the estimation of net EAS revenues and escalation of capital costs

- The Draft Report reflects the following changes to the net EAS revenue model compared to materials shared with stakeholders at the June 15 2016 ICAPWG meeting
 - Load Zone F and G gas prices are indexed to the Iroquois Zone 2 gas hub
 - Inclusion of level of excess (LOE) adjustment factors (LOE-AF) to reflect tariff prescribed LOE conditions (see following slides for additional details regarding the LOE-AFs)
 - Average Annual LOE-AF range from 1.02 (NYCA) to 1.04 (NYC)
 - Model applies monthly values for on peak, high on peak, and off-peak
 - Model logic updated to include an opportunity cost of providing Operating Reserves, equal to the intraday fuel premium/discount (see Slide 7 for additional details regarding this cost)

- GE developed a series of GE-MAPS modeling runs to estimate the hourly LBMPs for each Load Zone for the period 2017 – 2021
 - Based on 2015 CARIS Phase I data and assumptions
 - Final report may reflect 2016 CARIS Phase II data, if available, or modifications to 2015 CARIS Phase I reflect CARIS Phase II resource assumptions
- GE generated the following modeling runs:
 - Tariff prescribed level of excess case ("At Criterion"): minimum Installed Capacity Requirement plus 200 MW (capacity of the peaking plant)
 - "As found" case: Reflects summer capacity + firm net imports + UDRs vs. load
- System loads were adjusted for every hour in each Load Zone so that the resulting ratio of peak load to available resources equaled the reserve margin consistent with LOE conditions

- LOE-AFs were developed for the following periods in each month:
 - On-peak: beginning 7 am and ending 11 pm inclusive, Monday through Friday excluding NERC Holidays
 - High on-peak: subset of peak hours as follows:
 - Summer: June, July, August from 2 pm to 5 pm inclusive
 - Winter: December, January, February from 4 pm to 7 pm inclusive
 - Off-peak: all hours not defined as included in on-peak
- The LOE-AF by period, month, and Load Zone, is calculated as the ratio of average "at-criterion" and "as found" LBMPs:

 $LOEAF_{P,M,Y,Z} = \frac{Average(At \ Criterion \ LBMP)_{P,M,Z}}{Average(As \ Found \ LBMP)_{P,M,Z}}$

 LOE-AFs are applied to both LBMPs (DAM and RTD) and reserve prices in the net EAS revenues model

Load Zone	Month	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	
	Off-peak	1.047	1.048	1.040	1.025	1.015	1.022	1.016	1.032	1.021	1.022	1.044	Γ
	On-peak	1.033	1.022	1.019	1.011	1.005	1.002	1.016	1.023	1.016	1.017	1.034	
Capital (Load Zone F)	High On-												
	peak	1.064	1.064	-	-	-	0.997	1.002	1.022	-	-	-	
Central (Load Zone C)	Off-peak	1.029	1.014	1.035	1.029	1.019	1.022	1.025	1.032	1.028	1.024	1.052	
	On-peak	1.003	1.043	1.031	1.018	1.018	1.012	1.015	1.021	1.018	1.018	1.032	
	High On-												
	peak	1.002	1.052	-	-	-	1.006	1.004	1.013	-	-	-	
	Off-peak	1.042	1.037	1.036	1.027	1.018	1.024	1.027	1.032	1.024	1.023	1.046	
Hudson Valley	On-peak	1.023	1.025	1.022	1.017	1.013	1.014	1.025	1.026	1.019	1.017	1.032	
(Load Zone G)	High On- peak	1.037	1.059	-	-	-	1.022	1.063	1.065	-	-	-	
	Off-peak	1.045	1.039	1.036	1.028	1.019	1.027	1.034	1.037	1.027	1.026	1.047	
New York City	On-peak	1.047	1.040	1.026	1.020	1.016	1.022	1.039	1.054	1.022	1.018	1.034	
(Load Zone J)	High On-												
	peak	1.045	1.062	-	-	-	1.038	1.129	1.159	-	-	-	
	Off-peak	1.050	1.047	1.032	1.032	1.023	1.023	1.037	1.029	1.027	1.027	1.025	
Long Island	On-peak	1.066	1.024	1.017	1.017	1.014	1.017	1.033	1.035	1.020	1.011	1.016	
(Load Zone K)	High On-												

1.023

1.131

1.108

peak

1.065

1.025

ANALYSIS GROUP

ECONOMIC. FINANCIAL and STRATEGY CONSULTANTS

Dec 1.040 1.005

1.022 1.028 1.028

1.027 1.035 1.012

1.026 1.036 1.026

1.028 1.028 1.030

1.010

Π



- Net EAS revenues model updated to include an opportunity cost of providing reserves
 - Cost is equal to the cost to obtain (or sell) fuel necessary to provide reserves
 - Cost is based on the intraday premium (discount)
 - Dual fuel units do not face an opportunity cost to providing reserves when the cost of oil is less than the cost of gas

- AGI and LCI provided the following preliminary recommendations in the Draft Report:
 - The Siemens SGT6-5000F5 represents the highest variable, lowest fixed cost peaking plant that is economically viable in all locations
 - To be economically viable and practically constructible, the F Class Frame machine would be built with SCR emission control technology across all locations
 - Based on market expectations, the F Class Frame machine would more often than not be built with dual fuel capability in all locations
 - Derivation of ICAP Demand Curve parameters for the 2017/2018 Capability Year reflect the following inputs:
 - Gas Hubs: Load Zone C (TETCO M3), Load Zones F and G (Iroquois Zone 2), Load Zones J and K (Transco Zone 6)
 - Cost of Capital: After Tax Weighted Average Cost of Capital of 8.36% in NYC and 8.60% in all other locations
 - Zero Crossing Point: NYCA (112%), G-J Locality (115%), NYC and LI (118%)

Preliminary Results: Reference Point Price



	Preliminary Monthly Reference Point Price (\$/kW-Month)										
				G - Huds on	G - Hudson						
				Valley	Valley	J - New York	K - Long				
Fuel type	Technology	C - Central	F - Capital	(Rockland)	(Dutchess)	City	Island				
	Wartsila 18V50DF	\$20.53	\$19.10	\$25.13	\$24.80	\$31.58	\$24.35				
Dual Fuel	LMS100 PA	\$16.28	\$14.88	\$19.37	\$19.06	\$23.88	\$17.48				
	SGT6-PAC5000F(5) SC	\$11.24	\$10.99	\$14.81	\$14.57	\$18.33	\$11.17				
	1x0 GE 7HA.02	\$9.71	\$9.77	\$13.93	\$13.22	-	\$20.28				
Casarly	Wartsila 18V50DF	\$18.99	\$17.58	\$23.39	\$23.11	-	-				
Gas only with SCR	LMS100 PA	\$15.62	\$14.45	\$19.04	\$18.74	-	-				
	SGT6-PAC5000F(5) SC	\$10.44	\$10.48	\$14.06	\$13.88	-	-				
	1x0 GE 7HA.02	\$8.37	\$8.60	\$12.61	\$11.98	-	-				

- Notes: Values are expressed in \$2016 and will be updated with finalized data prior to the November 2016 filing with FERC.
- Data for GE 7HA.02 is provided for informational purposes

	Preliminary Gross CONE (\$/kW-Year)										
				G - Huds on	G - Hudson						
				Valley	Valley	J - New York	K - Long				
Fuel type	Technology	C - Central	F - Capital	(Rockland)	(Dutchess)	City	Island				
	Wartsila 18V50DF	\$256.70	\$251.53	\$283.44	\$280.63	\$330.60	\$314.00				
Dual Fuel	LMS100 PA	\$224.07	\$215.27	\$239.58	\$237.36	\$276.94	\$261.32				
	SGT6-PAC5000F(5) SC	\$160.25	\$152.56	\$173.89	\$172.07	\$205.85	\$191.92				
	1x0 GE 7HA.02	\$147.77	\$142.76	\$158.82	\$157.26	-	\$238.15				
Casarly	Wartsila 18V50DF	\$237.71	\$229.76	\$260.80	\$258.36	-	-				
Gas only with SCR	LMS100 PA	\$213.63	\$204.81	\$229.03	\$226.89	-	-				
	SGT6-PAC5000F(5) SC	\$148.20	\$140.69	\$160.14	\$158.85	-	-				
	1x0 GE 7HA.02	\$130.80	\$125.65	\$141.29	\$139.89	-	-				

- Notes: Values are expressed in \$2016 and will be updated with finalized data prior to the November 2016 filing with FERC.
- Data for GE 7HA.02 is provided for informational purposes

Preliminary Results: Net EAS Revenues



	Preliminary Net EAS (\$/kW-Year)										
				G - Huds on	G - Huds on						
				Valley	Valley	J - New York	K - Long				
Fuel type	Technology	C - Central	F - Capital	(Rockland)	(Dutchess)	City	Island				
	Wartsila 18V50DF	\$60.25	\$68.81	\$62.99	\$63.06	\$76.49	\$140.15				
Dual Fuel	LMS100 PA	\$57.35	\$62.84	\$58.42	\$58.44	\$70.98	\$125.46				
	SGT6-PAC5000F(5) SC	\$48.21	\$43.61	\$41.07	\$41.14	\$55.79	\$111.77				
	1x0 GE 7HA.02	\$53.37	\$48.22	\$46.20	\$46.24	-	\$119.20				
Casantu	Wartsila 18V50DF	\$56.05	\$61.54	\$55.55	\$55.62	-	-				
Gas only with SCR	LMS100 PA	\$53.61	\$56.77	\$51.00	\$51.02	-	-				
	SGT6-PAC5000F(5) SC	\$44.16	\$36.76	\$34.06	\$34.13	-	-				
	1x0 GE 7HA.02	\$49.36	\$42.38	\$39.32	\$39.36	-	-				

- Notes: Values are expressed in \$2016 and will be updated with finalized data prior to the November 2016 filing with FERC.
- Data for GE 7HA.02 is provided for informational purposes

F Class Frame Machine with SCR and Dual Fuel (all locations)

		Current Year (2017-2018)							
				G - Hudson	G - Hudson				
				Valley	Valley				
Parameter	Source	C - Central	F - Capital	(Dutchess)	(Rockland)	J - New York City	K - Long Island		
Gross Cost of New Entry (\$/kW-Year)	[1]	\$160.25	\$152.56	\$172.07	\$173.89	\$205.85	\$191.92		
Net EAS Revenue (\$/kW-Year)	[2]	\$48.21	\$43.61	\$41.14	\$41.07	\$55.79	\$111.77		
Annual ICAP Reference Value (\$/kW-Year)	[3] = [1] - [2]	\$112.04	\$108.95	\$130.93	\$132.82	\$150.06	\$80.15		
ICAP DMNC (MW)	[4]	215.8	217.0	218.0	218.0	217.6	219.1		
Total Annual Reference Value	[5] = [3] * [4]	\$24,179,975	\$23,643,213	\$28,537,263	\$28,949,925	\$32,648,900	\$17,562,708		
Level of Excess (%)	[6]	100.6%	100.6%	101.5%	101.5%	102.3%	103.9%		
Ratio of Summer to Winter DMNCs	[7]	1.039	1.039	1.054	1.054	1.077	1.075		
Summer DMNC (MW)	[8]	223.1	223.4	223.3	222.7	223.0	226.3		
Winter DMNC (MW)	[9]	231.3	231.3	231.3	231.3	229.9	231.3		
Assumed Capacity Prices at Tariff Prescri	ibed Level of Excess C	Conditions							
Summer (\$/kW-Month)	[10]	\$10.73	\$10.48	\$13.13	\$13.34	\$15.99	\$8.75		
Winter (\$/kW-Month)	[11]	\$7.07	\$6.91	\$7.88	\$8.01	\$8.15	\$4.09		
Monthly Revenue (Summer)	[12] = [10]*[8]	\$2,393,484	\$2,341,718	\$2,932,141	\$2,971,557	\$3,566,866	\$1,979,885		
Monthly Revenue (Winter)	[13] = [11]*[9]	\$1,636,511	\$1,598,825	\$1,824,063	\$1,853,420	\$1,874,615	\$947,219		
Seasonal Revenue (Summer)	[14] = 6 * [12]	\$14,360,902	\$14,050,311	\$17,592,843	\$17,829,343	\$21,401,197	\$11,879,309		
Seasonal Revenue (Winter)	[15] = 6 * [13]	\$9,819,068	\$9,592,952	\$10,944,377	\$11,120,523	\$11,247,690	\$5,683,314		
Total Annual Reference Value	[16] = [14]+[15]	\$24,179,971	\$23,643,263	\$28,537,220	\$28,949,866	\$32,648,887	\$17,562,623		
Demand Curve Parameters									
			ICAP Me	onthly Reference	Point Price (\$/k	w-Month)			
		\$11.24	\$10.99	\$14.57	\$14.81	\$18.33	\$11.17		
ICAP Max Clearing Price (\$/kW-Month)		\$19.29	\$18.68	\$24.15	\$24.50	\$30.90	\$24.55		
Demand Curve Length		12.0%	12.0%	15.0%	15.0%	18.0%	18.0%		

JUNE 27, 2016 PRESENTATION TO NYISO ICAPWG



 AGI estimated the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) and after tax WACC (ATWACC) using the following equations:

(1) WACC = Debt Ratio * COD + (1 - Debt Ratio) * ROE

10.29% = 55% * 7.75% + (1 - 55%) * 13.4%

(2) ATWACC = Debt Ratio * COD * (1 - composite tax rate) + (1 - Debt Ratio) * ROE

8.36% = 55% * 7.75% * (1 - 45.37%) + (1 - 55%) * 13.4% (NYC) 8.60% = 55% * 7.75% * (1 - 39.62%) + (1 - 55%) * 13.4% (All other locations)

ANALYSI

 Further detail on preliminary net EAS revenue estimates are provided in a Draft Report Appendix with breakouts by commitment state, fuel, and year

	Run Hours May, 2013 - April, 2014												
Day-	Day-Ahead Commitment Energy Reserve No.					one							
Real-Time Dispatch		Energy	Reserve	Buyout	Limited	Energy	Reserve	Buyout	Limited	Energy	Reserve	None	Limited
С	Central	1,014	0	504	0	8	2	148	0	244	0	6,840	0
F	Capital	662	15	510	0	38	0	257	0	193	0	7,085	0
G	Hudson Valley (Dutchess)	962	0	424	0	42	1	275	0	188	0	6,868	0
G	Hudson Valley (Rockland)	962	0	413	0	42	1	275	0	187	0	6,880	0
J	NYC	2,401	0	428	0	31	1	171	0	112	0	5,616	0
Κ	Long Island	3,207	0	402	1,333	35	0	96	15	112	0	3,475	85
	Net EAS Revenues May, 2013 - April, 2014												
Day-	Ahead Commitment		Ene	rgy			Rese	erve			No	one	-
Real	Time Dispatch	Energy	Reserve	Buyout	Limited	Energy	Reserve	Buyout	Limited	Energy	Reserve	None	Limited
С	Central	\$41.44	\$0.00	\$20.16	\$0.00	\$0.65	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.00	\$8.31	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
F	Capital	\$31.68	\$1.75	\$26.81	\$0.00	\$3.95	\$0.00	\$0.19	\$0.00	\$8.07	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
G	Hudson Valley (Dutchess)	\$34.85	\$0.00	\$21.47	\$0.00	\$3.90	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.00	\$7.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
G	Hudson Valley (Rockland)	\$34.81	\$0.00	\$21.44	\$0.00	\$3.89	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.00	\$7.38	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
J	NYC	\$69.94	\$0.00	\$18.64	\$0.00	\$3.35	\$0.00	\$0.21	\$0.00	\$3.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Κ	Long Island	\$152.52	\$0.00	\$16.54	\$0.00	\$3.91	\$0.00	\$0.09	\$0.03	\$7.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

F Class Frame Machine with Dual Fuel and SCR

May, 2013 - April, 2014											
		Run	-Time Ho	urs	Net Energy Revenues (\$/kW-year)						
	Load Zone	Gas	Oil	Total	Gas	Oil	Total				
С	Central	1,258	8	1,266	\$49.76	\$0.65	\$50.41				
F	Capital	777	116	893	\$32.62	\$11.08	\$43.69				
G	Hudson Valley (Dutchess)	1,084	108	1,192	\$35.96	\$10.18	\$46.14				
G	Hudson Valley (Rockland)	1,083	108	1,191	\$35.92	\$10.17	\$46.08				
J	New York City	2,449	95	2,544	\$64.23	\$12.66	\$76.89				
Κ	Long Island	3,232	122	3,354	\$150.79	\$13.14	\$163.93				

JUNE 27, 2016 🔳 🗕

UP















